



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,747	04/25/2001	Louis Bouchard	AVALUC-01800	7367
28960 7590 10/01/2007 HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP 162 N WOLFE ROAD SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			EXAMINER PHILLIPS, HASSAN A	
			ART UNIT 2151	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/01/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/842,747

Applicant(s)

BOUCHARD, LOUIS

Examiner

Hassan Phillips

Art Unit

2151

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/25/07.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to communications filed July 12, 2007.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed July 12, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued Amin does not explicitly teach disconnecting the communication link used to receive the voice mail notification after the voice mail notification is received by the cellular telephone, and then forming another separate communication link between the cellular telephone and the voice mail system to receive the selected specific message. Applicant further argued that similarly, neither Cloutier, Stein, nor their combination teach establishing two separate communication links, one communication link to receive the message notification, which is subsequently disconnected, and a separate second communication link to receive the corresponding message, as claimed. Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's assertions.

3. With regards to applicants remarks and the teachings of Amin, examiner submits while the teachings of Amin may not recite applicants claim language word for word, applicants claimed feature for disconnecting the first communication link after the wireless device receives the updated mailbox content list, and then forming a second communication link through the wireless network thereby linking the wireless device and the server is at least inherent in the teachings of Amin. This is apparent where Amin discloses first forwarding the voice mail notification to the cellular telephone (col. 5, lines

Art Unit: 2151

13-31), and then retrieving the voice mail from the voice mail system by dialing the voice mail system and communicating with the system, (col. 5, lines 4-12).

4. With regards to applicants remarks and the teachings of Cloutier and Stein, examiner acknowledges the teachings of Cloutier may not expressly disclose establishing two separate communication links with a single device, one communication link to receive the message notification, which is subsequently disconnected, and a separate second communication link to receive the corresponding message. Nevertheless, as acknowledged by applicant, Cloutier teaches two embodiments where in the first embodiment a messaging system server 120 provides access to the email server 110 via the internet 130 such that notification of high priority email messages received on the email server 110 are provided by transmitting a wireless message to a wireless device 170 used by the subscriber (Cloutier, col. 3, lines 62-66). To retrieve the new message, the subscriber accesses the messaging system server 120 using an access device 190 connected via a user interface 140 (Cloutier, col. 4, lines 26-38). In the second embodiment, Cloutier teaches a single device, the access device 190, which is configured to receive the message notification, and the access device 190 subsequently retrieves the message corresponding to the message notification (Cloutier, col. 7, lines 26-48). Examiner submits Cloutier further discloses that the invention may be implemented using any type of device, (Cloutier, col. 4, lines 15-25). Thus, examiner submits one of ordinary skill would have readily recognized the combined teachings of Cloutier alone provide a means for implementing applicants

Art Unit: 2151

claimed invention. Nevertheless, as indicated in the previous action, examiner cited the teachings of Stein to exemplify a single wireless device initiating a second communication link to receive a corresponding message, (Stein, col. 3, lines 8-39).

Thus, given the teachings of Stein, examiner maintains it would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Cloutier to disclose establishing two separate communication links with a single device, one communication link to receive the message notification, which is subsequently disconnected, and a separate second communication link to receive the corresponding message. This would have advantageously allowed a user to receive email notifications, and retrieve the emails with the same wireless device, (Cloutier, col. 4, lines 15-38 and col. 7, lines 26-48, Stein, col. 3, lines 24-32).

5. Accordingly the references supplied by the Examiner in the previous office action covers the claimed limitations. The rejections are thus sustained. Applicant is requested to review the prior art of record for further consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-14, 16-20, 22-24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Amin, U.S. Patent 6,006,087.

8. In considering claims 1, 7, 14, and 19, Amin teaches a system and method of utilizing a push model to provide access to a message list in one or more of a voice, a fax, an e-mail and a unified mailbox through a wireless network, the method comprising the steps of:

- a. determining if an updated mailbox content list is to be transmitted by a server (102) to a wireless device (10), wherein the server independently determines if and when to transmit the updated mailbox content list, (col. 5, lines 13-31);
- b. forming a first communication link through a wireless network between the server and the wireless device, (col. 5, lines 13-31);
- c. transmitting the updated mailbox content list from the server to the wireless device over the first communication link, (col. 5, lines 13-31);
- d. automatically receiving the updated mailbox content list by the wireless device from the server through the first communication link, (col. 5, lines 13-31);
- e. disconnecting the first communication link after the wireless device receives the updated mailbox content list, (col. 5, lines 13-31 and lines 4-12);
- f. scrolling through the updated mailbox content list and selecting a message therefrom with the wireless device, (col. 4, line 60-col. 5, line 12);
- g. forming a second communication link through the wireless network thereby linking the wireless device and the server, (col. 4, line 60-col. 5, line 12);

h. selectively receiving the message on the wireless device from the server over the second communication link, (col. 4, line 60-col. 5, line 12);

i. providing the message to a user of the wireless device, (col. 4, line 60-col. 5, line 12).

9. In considering claims 2, 9, and 20, Amin teaches a new message notification, including the updated content list, (col. 4, lines 44-59).

10. In considering claims 4, 16, and 22, Amin teaches scrolling through the updated mailbox content list without accessing the wireless network, (col. 4, line 44-col. 5, line 3).

11. In considering claims 5, 17, and 23, Amin further teaches the user issuing a command using the wireless device, (col. 4, line 60-col. 5, line 12).

12. In considering claims 6, 18, and 24, Amin further teaches the server playing the message according to a command given by the user, (col. 5, lines 4-12).

13. In considering claim 10, Amin teaches after being sent by the server, the new message notification and the updated content list can be viewed by a user with the wireless device, (col. 4, lines 44-59).

Art Unit: 2151

14. In considering claim 11, Amin teaches the user may scroll through the updated mailbox content list with the wireless device, (col. 4, line 44-col. 5, line 3).

15. In considering claim 12, Amin further teaches a user selecting a message by issuing a command to the server, (col. 4, line 60-col. 5, line 12).

16. In considering claim 13, Amin further teaches the server delivering the message selected by the user and the message is played for the user by the wireless device, (col. 5, lines 4-12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

18. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-14, 16-20, 22, 24, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cloutier, in view of Stein et al (hereinafter Stein), U.S. Patent 6,289,212 (supplied by Applicant).

19. In considering claims 1, 7, 14, and 19, Cloutier teaches a method and system for utilizing a push model to provide access to messages in one or more of a voice, a

Art Unit: 2151

fax, an e-mail and a unified mailbox through a wireless network, the method comprising the steps of:

- a. determining if a message alert is to be transmitted by a server (120) to a wireless device (170), wherein the server independently determines if and when to transmit the message alert, (col. 2, lines 30-41, col. 3, line 62-col. 4, line 14, also see Fig. 1);
- b. forming a first communication link through a wireless network between the server and the wireless device, (col. 2, lines 30-41, col. 3, line 62-col. 4, line 14, also see Fig. 1);
- c. transmitting the message alert from the server to the wireless device over the first communication link, (col. 2, lines 30-41, col. 3, line 62-col. 4, line 14, also see Fig. 1);
- d. automatically receiving the message alert by the wireless device from the server through the first communication link, (col. 2, lines 30-41, col. 3, line 62-col. 4, line 14, also see Fig. 1);
- e. disconnecting the first communication link after the wireless device receives the updated mailbox content list, (col. 2, lines 30-41, col. 3, line 62-col. 4, line 14, also see Fig. 1);
- f. viewing the alert on the wireless device, (col. 2, lines 30-41, col. 3, line 62-col. 4, line 14, also see Fig. 1);

Art Unit: 2151

g. forming a second communication link through the wireless network thereby linking the wireless device and the server for receiving a message, (col. 2, lines 41-45);

h. and providing the message to the user, (col. 2, lines 41-45).

Although the method of Cloutier shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to explicitly disclose: the message alert being a mailbox content list; selecting a message with the wireless device; and receiving the message over a wireless network.

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor, Stein teaches a method for providing electronic mail services during network unavailability comprising: receiving a mailbox content list from a server over a wireless network, (col. 3, lines 8-39); scrolling through the mailbox content list with a wireless device, (col. 3, lines 8-39); and selecting a message with the wireless device, (col. 3, lines 8-39).

Thus given the teachings of Stein, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Cloutier to show the message alert being a mailbox content list that a user could scroll through on a wireless device in order to select a message to be received over the wireless network. This would have provided the user the flexibility to select which message the user desired to receive, in the case that multiple messages were available for the user on the server, (Stein, col. 3, lines 24-32).

20. In considering claims 2, 9, and 20, Cloutier teaches a new message notification. See col. 2, lines 30-41.

21. In considering claims 4, 16, and 22, Cloutier teaches viewing the alert without accessing the wireless network. See col. 2, lines 30-41.

22. In considering claims 5, 17, and 23, Cloutier further teaches the user issuing a command using the wireless device. See col. 6, lines 50-54.

23. In considering claims 6, 18, and 24, Cloutier further teaches the server playing the message according to a command given by the user. See col. 6, lines 54-61.

24. In considering claim 10, the system of Cloutier provides a means for viewing a new message notification and an updated content list by a user with the wireless device. See col. 2, lines 30-41.

25. In considering claim 11, although the system of Cloutier shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to explicitly disclose: a) Scrolling through a mailbox content list.

Art Unit: 2151

Nevertheless, in a similar field of endeavor, Stein teaches a method for providing electronic mail services during network unavailability comprising: a) Scrolling through a mailbox content list with a wireless device, (col. 3, lines 24-39).

Thus given the teachings of Stein, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Cloutier to show scrolling through an updated mailbox content list with the wireless device. This would have provided the user the flexibility to select which message the user desired to receive, in the case that multiple messages were available for the user on the server along with the new message, Stein, col. 3, lines 24-32. 15.

26. In considering claim 12, Cloutier further teaches a user selecting a message by issuing a command to the server. See col. 6, lines 50-54.

27. In considering claim 13, Cloutier further teaches the server delivering the message selected by the user and the message being played for the user by the wireless device. See col. 6, lines 54-61.

28. Claims 3, 8, 15, 21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amin in view of the Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

29. In considering claims 3, 8, 15, and 21, Amin discloses a wireless network, (col. 2, lines 35-39).

Art Unit: 2151

Although the disclosed teachings of Amin show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to expressly disclose the wireless network having a low data-bandwidth, and a high-data latency.

Nevertheless, it was well known in the art at the time of the present invention for wireless networks to have a low data-bandwidth, and a high-data latency. This was admitted by the applicant in the specification on page 1, line 33, and page 2, lines 1-5.

Thus, if not implicit in the teachings of Amin, given the teachings of the AAPA it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Amin to show the wireless network having a low data-bandwidth, and a high-data latency. This would have advantageously utilized the wireless network disclosed by Amin in a fashion well known to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention.

30. Claims 3, 8, 15, 21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cloutier, in view of Stein, and further in view of the Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

31. In considering claims 3, 8, 15, and 21, although the combined methods of Cloutier and Stein show substantial features of the claimed invention, they fail to expressly disclose the wireless network having a low data-bandwidth, and a high-data latency.

Nevertheless, it was well known in the art at the time of the present invention for wireless networks to have a low data-bandwidth, and a high-data latency. This was admitted by the applicant in the specification on page 1, line 33, and page 2, lines 1-5.

Thus, if not implicit in the teachings of Cloutier and Stein, given the teachings of the AAPA it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Cloutier and Stein to show the wireless network having a low data-bandwidth, and a high-data latency. This would have shown that the methods of Cloutier and Stein work in networks that were well known at the time of the present invention such as wireless networks with low data-bandwidth, and high-data latency.

Conclusion

32. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2151

33. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hassan Phillips whose telephone number is 571-272-3940. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Wallace can be reached on 571-272-3440. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HP/
9/27/07



JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100